## GOVERNMENT OF ANDHRA PRADESH ABSTRACT

Tirumala Tirupati Devasthanams – Review Petition filed by Sri G.Kesava Raju, Assistant/Shroff, Tirumala Tirupati Devasthanams u/s 122 of Act 30/1987 – Allowed – orders - Issued.

## **REVENUE (ENDOWMENTS.III) DEPARTMENT**

G.O.Rt.No. 714

Dated:25-05-2013

Read the following:-

- 1. Govt. Memo.No.67520/Endts.III/A2/2004-3, dt.01.05.2009.
- 2. From Sri G.Kesava Raju, Asst/Shroff, S.V.High School, TTD Tirupati, Review Petition, dt.7-8-2009.
- 3. From the Executive Officer, Tirumala Tirupati Devasthanams, Tirupati, Lr. No. B8/7045/2010, Dt. 08.06.2010.

\* \* \*

## **ORDER:**

Sri G.Kesava Raju, Assistant/Shroff, S.V.High School, Tirumala Tirupati Devasthanams has filed Review Petition before Government u/s.122 of Act 30/1987 against the Government orders issued in Govt. Memo.No.67520/Endts.III/A2/2004-3, dated 01.05.2009.

- 2. For having absented to duty unauthorisedly, Sri G.Kesava Raju, Assistant/Shroff was punished with stoppage of one increment with cumulative effect besides treating the period of unauthorized absence as "Dies Non" vide Proceedings Roc.No.DA5/44742/1996, dated 23.07.1998.
- 3. Aggrieved by the said orders of punishment he filed an appeal before the TTD Board with a request to set-aside the punishment orders and to treat the period as leave for which he is eligible instead of treating it as "Dies-Non".
- 4. The TTD Board, in its Res.No.722, dated 24&25.02.2004 confirmed the punishment awarded by the Disciplinary Authority and resolved to treat the period of absence as leave for which he is eligible if he agrees for the same. The decision of the TTD Board was communicated to the appellant vide Progs. dated 04.03.2004.
- 5. Aggrieved by the said orders, he filed an appeal petition before the Government under Section 120(ii) of Act 30 of 1987.
- 6. The Government have rejected the said appeal petition as there are no grounds to interfere with the decision of the TTD Board and dismissed the same vide Govt.Memo. No.67520/Endts.III/A2/2004-3, dated 01.05.2009.
- 7. Aggrieved by the said Govt. Memo. he filed the Review Petition under Section 122 of Act 30/1987 with a prayer to re-examine the case and communicate appropriate orders mingling with a tinge of mercy to meet the ends of justice.
- 8. The Executive Officer, Tirumala Tirupati Devasthanams, Tirupati who has been consulted in the matter has furnished the parawise remarks and connected records in the matter vide reference  $3^{\rm rd}$  read above.

- 9. The case was taken up for hearing on 06.02.2013. The appellant appeared in person. The Deputy Executive Officer, Balaji Bhavan, Hyderabad has attended on behalf of Tirumala Tirupati Devasthanams.
- 10. Arguments were heard from both the parties. The Government after hearing the arguments and perusing the connected records, observed that the decision of the TTD Board in Resolution No.722, dated 25.02.2004 confirming the punishment awarded by the disciplinary authority and treating the period of the absence as leave is harsh, hence, not agreed and accordingly, the plea of the applicant is agreed. Further, the "stoppage of one increment with the cumulative effect is hereby dispensed".
- 11. The Executive Officer, Tirumala Tirupati Devasthanams, Tirupati shall take further necessary action in the matter accordingly.

(BY ORDER AND IN THE NAME OF THE GOVERNOR OF ANDHRA PRADESH)

M.G.GOPAL PRINCIPAL SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT

To

Sri G.Kesava Raju, Assistant/Shroff, S.V.High School,
Tirumala Tirupati Devasthanams, Tirupati thro' the Executive
Officer, Tirumala Tirupati Devasthanams, Tirupati.

The Executive Officer, Tirumala Tirupati Devasthanams, Tirupati. Copy to:

OSD to Min. (Endts.). SF/SC.

//FORWARDED:: BY ORDER//

**SECTION OFFICER**